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Background on IAIA and Symposium

- IAIA is the International Association of Impact Assessment ([http://www.iaia.org](http://www.iaia.org))
- Anyone can join IAIA, and members with mutual interests share experiences and discuss ideas within IAIA Sections
  - The Biodiversity and Ecology (BES) Section is currently chaired by Elizabeth Clarke (ZSL) and Orlando Venn (Treweek Consultants), both BBOP members
- Following the 2012 IAIA conference in Portugal, the BES Section proposed the first Special Symposium on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services
  - This was the second Special Symposium for IAIA with the first one focused on Climate Change in 2010
- **Key objective:** break down silos between conservation community, consultants, and private sector companies
Overview of Participation

- Symposium registration met full capacity with 220 people in attendance
- Global attendance from 28 countries
- Sectors represented included
  - 30% NGOs, including inter-governmental organizations (e.g. IUCN, UNESCO, UNDP, UNEP WCMC)
  - 30% Consultants (e.g. AATA, Hatch, URS, AMEC, Golder)
  - 14% Financial Institutions (e.g. IFC, IDB, USEXIM, Export Development Canada, Citi)
  - 14% Companies (e.g. CropLife, Holcim, Rio Tinto, Barrick, Inmet, Shell, Exxon, Chevron, Eni)
  - 8% Universities and 5% Government
Symposium Programme Overview

- Two plenaries
- Six concurrent sessions with a mix of formats (presentations, panels, and workshops)

**Track A:** Tools, Methods, and Information Resources

**Track B:** Applying Impact Assessment to Private and Public Sector Decision Making

**Track C:** Policy, Planning, and Management

**Track D:** Computer Lab Workshops
IA and the Landscape Approach

Speaker: Bruce McKenney (The Nature Conservancy)

Key Points

• Key problems with mitigation are improper ecological scale, reactive piecemeal planning, lack of defined outcome

• We can apply lessons learned in past development (e.g. oil & gas leases in Wyoming) to current development challenges (e.g. increasing mining leases in Mongolia)
Net Positive Impact and Offset Design

Session participants: Ray Victurine (WCS), Jon Ekstrom (The Biodiversity Consultancy), Jared Hardner (HGA), Francisco Dallmeier (Smithsonian Institute)

NPI Forecasting – Key Points

• New technique for most IA practitioners

• First piloted in Madagascar for the Rio Tinto QMM project

• Each biodiversity feature has a separate accounting line to predict impacts over time

• Once reliable data is acquired, forecasting can be done in 2-3 weeks
Net Positive Impact and Offset Design

Offset Feasibility Funnel: an analysis tool to evaluate options

Discussion Points:

• The fewest number of options will be politically feasible

• Need to engage government early in the offset design process

• Companies are often reluctant to do this until lender requirements are agreed

• However, lenders need to ensure political feasibility before finalizing loan agreement
Track A: Tools, Methods, and Information Resources

Session 1: Baselines and Data Collection (Presentations)

Session 2: Biodiversity Databases and Tools (Presentations)

Session 3: Biodiversity Risk Assessment and Conservation Priorities: Identifying Biodiversity Values (Presentations)

Session 4: Indirect and Cumulative Impact Assessment (Presentations)

Session 5: GIS and Landscape Analysis (Presentations)

Session 6: Key Biodiversity Areas: Contribute to the Development of a Global Standard (Workshop)
Baselines and Data Collection

Session participants: Reed Huppman (Environ), Jared Hardner (HGA), Jason Wiley (ERM), and Robert Langstroth (Environ)

Challenges

• Timing constraints of project schedules and financing processes
• Data limitations and uncertainties
• Lack of consistency among projects
• Staffing and budget limitations (i.e. cost-based consultant selection)
• Studies seen as a cost and not an investment
• Mistrust of ‘environmentalists’
• Reluctance to consult and disclose

Recommendations

• Single clear standard among lenders for biodiversity inclusive EIA and monitoring
• Require scoping studies
• Centralized data portals and warehouses
• Certification and training of biodiversity consultants
• Independent critical habitats review panel
Track B: Applying Impact Assessment to Private and Public Sector Decision Making

Session 1: Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (BES) and Infrastructure (Presentations)

Session 2: BES and Agriculture (Panel)

Session 3: BES and Energy (Presentations)

Session 4: Critical Habitat Assessment and IFC Performance Standard 6 (Presentations)

Session 5: BES and Extractives (Panel)

Session 6: Aquatic Biodiversity and Ecological Flows (Presentations)
Aquatic Biodiversity and Ecological Flows
Slide by Joerg Hartmann (independent consultant)

Ann McCarthy - Director, Coastal Restoration at CSA Ocean Sciences Inc

Marine restoration: can we identify and restore marine ecosystem services?

Peter C. Esselman - Assistant Professor of Zoology, Michigan State University

Aquatic offsets: can we find and protect ‘equivalent’ aquatic ecosystems?

Emmanuel Boulet – Lead Environment Specialist, IDB

Environmental flows: can we establish flow releases that provide a reasonable balance between different objectives?
Aquatic Biodiversity and Ecological Flows

Challenges

• How to assess equivalence and no net loss?
  ❖ Aquatic systems generally non-linear
  ❖ Ha may not be the most relevant measure unit for aquatic habitat

• How to determine level of protection (in freshwater) to be achieved?
  ❖ Intact river?
  ❖ Free flowing river?
  ❖ River vs. Watershed Protection?
  ❖ Habitat restoration?

Recommendations

• Set specific mitigation goals with more emphasis on ecosystem function over area

• Evaluate meaningful parameters over the appropriate time scale
  ❖ Short term metrics (e.g. survivorship)
  ❖ Long term metrics (e.g. diversity)
  ❖ Rugosity (i.e. measurement of surface complexity and an indicator of habitat availability for sheltering and foraging)

• Reduce collection of data for metrics with no targets (i.e. invertebrate counts)

• Adjust frequency of data collection to match anticipated change
Track C: Policy, Planning and Management

Session 1: Integrating Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (BES) into Planning and Regional Strategies (Presentations)

Session 2: ID and Valuation of Ecosystem Services (Panel)

Session 3: Front Loading Biodiversity Action Plans (Workshop)

Session 4: Engaging Communities on BES (Presentations)

Session 5: Monitoring and Adaptive Management (Presentations)

Session 6: Payments for Ecosystem Services (Workshop)
Monitoring and Adaptive Management

Challenges

• Long-term success dependent on appropriate monitoring and adaptive management

• Poor initial assessments result in monitoring and management plans that are not fit for purpose

• Species and habitats are dynamic and conditions change

• Management response ill-informed and ineffective

Recommendations

• Start with a robust and appropriate baseline
  
  ❖ Take in to account changing conditions – requires sufficient science

• Monitoring and evaluation must inform management response
  
  ❖ Standardised methodologies and tools are emerging to assist in this process
  
  ❖ Integrated within Environmental Management Plan and plan is reviewed for changes

• Ensure indicators cover risk of change and align with wider landscape goals
Track D: Computer Workshops

Session 1: Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool (IBAT)

Session 2: NatureServe Tools

Session 3: Exploring Two Approaches to Ecosystem Services Valuation (Ecometrix and LEFT)

Session 4: Databasin Environmental Assessment Tool

Session 5: InVest Ecosystem Services Valuation Tool

Session 6: A Method to Assess Project Impact and Dependence on Ecosystem Services (WRI/WBCSD)
Local Ecological Footprinting Tool

- Mapping ecological important landscapes beyond protected areas
- Globally available web-based databases and models to provide an ecological score based on:
  - Biodiversity
  - Fragmentation
  - Threat
  - Connectivity
  - Resilience
Closing Plenary: Recommendations for the Future

1. Break down silos between academia, NGOs, government, consultants, and private sector companies
   - Link IAIA to Society of Conservation Biology
   - In future symposia, include more on species-specific impacts and mitigation innovation
   - Bring agribusiness to the table
   - Link up biodiversity experts and social specialists

2. Expand guidance on biodiversity mitigation and management to aquatic ecosystems

3. Facilitate greater discussion about the linkages between climate change and biodiversity/ ecosystem services issues

4. Provide more guidance on adaptive management, including triggers and thresholds, indicators, proxies, and surrogates